| By: | Cabinet Member for Finance |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Acting Director of Finance \& Procurement |

To: Governance and Audit Committee - 30 June 2011
Subject: TREASURY MANAGEMENT ANNUAL REVIEW 2010-11

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: To report a summary of Treasury Management activities in 2010-11.
FOR DECISION

## INTRODUCTION

1. The CIPFA definition of Treasury Management is "the management of the Council's investments and cash flows, its banking and its capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks".
2. The Treasury Management Code requires local authorities to determine an annual Treasury Management Strategy and now, as a minimum, formally report on their treasury activities and arrangements to Members mid-year and after the year-end. These reports enable those tasked with implementing policies and undertaking transactions to demonstrate they have properly fulfilled their responsibilities, and enable those with ultimate responsibility for the treasury management function to scrutinise and assess its effectiveness and compliance with policies and objectives. When this report is agreed by this Committee it will then go forward to full Council.

## ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

3. At the time of determining the strategy for 2010-11, interest rates were expected to remain low in response to the fragile state of the UK economy. Spending cuts and tax increases seemed inevitable post the General Election if the government had a clear majority. The markets had, at the time, viewed a hung parliament as potentially disruptive particularly if combined with a failure to articulate a credible plan to bring down government borrowing. The outlook for growth was uncertain due to consumers and corporates trimming their spending and financial institutions exercising restraint in new lending.
4. The economy's two headline indicators moved in opposite directions growth was lacklustre whilst inflation spiked sharply higher. The economy grew by just 1.3\% in calendar year 2010; the forecast for 2011 was revised down to $1.7 \%$ by the Office of Budget Responsibility in March. Higher commodity, energy and food prices and the increase in VAT to 20\% pushed the February 2011 annual inflation figure to 4.4\%. The Bank Rate was held at $0.5 \%$ as the economy grappled with uneven growth and the austerity measures set out in the coalition government's Comprehensive Spending Review.
5. Gilts benefitted from the Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) plans as well as from their relative 'safe haven' status in the face of European sovereign weakness. 5 -year and 10 -year gilt yields fell to lows of $1.44 \%$ and $2.83 \%$ respectively. However yields rose in the final quarter across all gilt maturities on concern that higher inflation would become embedded and greatly diminish the real rate of return for fixed income investors.
6. During the year money market rates increased marginally at the shorter end (overnight to 3 months). 6-12 month rates increased by between $0.25 \%$ to $0.3 \%$ over the 12 month period reflecting the expectation that the Bank Rate would be raised later in 2011. Relevant interest rate indices are attached in Appendix 1.

## BORROWING REQUIREMENT AND DEBT MANAGEMENT

7. The overall borrowing position is summarised below:

|  | Balance <br> on <br> $31 / 3 / 2010$ <br> $£ 000$ 's | Debt <br> Maturing <br> $£ 000$ 's | New <br> Borrowing <br> $£ 000$ 's | Balance <br> on <br> $31 / 3 / 2011$ <br> $£ 000$ 's | Increase <br> in <br> Borrowing <br> $£ 000$ 's |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Funding <br> Requirement | $1,236,211$ |  |  | $1,309,517$ |  |
| Short Term <br> Borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long Term <br> Borrowing | $1,042,363$ | 46,030 | 100,000 | $1,096,333$ | 53,970 |
| TOTAL <br> EXTERNAL DEBT | $1,042,363$ | 46,030 | 100,000 | $1,096,333$ | 53,970 |

8. Following the CSR on 20 October 2010, on instruction from HM Treasury, the PWLB increased the margin for new borrowing to average $1 \%$ above the yield on the corresponding UK Government Gilt. New fixed rate borrowing rates increased by approximately $0.87 \%$ across all maturities, and new variable rate borrowing rates by $0.90 \%$. Premature repayment rates did not benefit from the increase in the margin which potentially makes future rescheduling of PWLB loans more challenging.
9. The type of borrowing taken was:

| Loans Borrowed <br> during 2010-11 | Principal £000's | Average Rate \% | Average Maturity <br> (years) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PWLB Fixed Rate <br> Maturity Loans | 70,000 | 4.12 | 39.75 |
| PWLB Fixed Rate <br> EIP Loans | 20,000 | 1.94 | 10 |
| Market Loans | 10,000 | 4.20 | 31 |
| Total | 100,000 |  |  |

10. Given the large differential between short and longer term interest rates, which is likely to remain a feature for some time in the future, as well as the pressure on Council finances, the debt management strategy sought to lower debt costs within an acceptable level of volatility (interest rate risk). Changes in the debt portfolio over the year have achieved a reduction in the overall debt cost by $£ 4.6 \mathrm{~m}$ whilst increasing the average life from 27.22 years to 27.82 years.
11. The PWLB remains the Council's preferred source of borrowing given the transparency and control that its facilities continue to provide.
12. No debt rescheduling was undertaken in the year.

## INVESTMENT ACTIVITY

13. The Council held average cash balances of $£ 278.67 \mathrm{~m}$ during the year. These represented working cash balances / capital receipts, and the Council's reserves. This is a significant reduction in the level of balances which is the result of the deliberate decision to run down balances and defer borrowing (due to the low return on deposits and the lack of counterparties) and the separation of Pension Fund working cash.
14. The CLG's Guidance on Investments, revised during 2009-10, reiterated security and liquidity as the primary objectives of a prudent investment policy. Although the Guidance became operative on 1 April 2010, its principal recommendations run parallel to the credit risk management requirements in the revised Treasury Management Code. In the revised Guidance, Specified Investments are those made with a body or scheme of "high credit quality". Both the Guidance and the revised Treasury Management Code emphasise that counterparty credit criteria should not rely on credit ratings alone but should include a wider range of indicators. The revised Code nonetheless requires that ratings assigned by all three rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard \& Poor's - be taken into account and the lowest rating be used.
15. The criteria agreed by Cabinet on 2 February 2011 for the approval of counterparties are:

- A strong likelihood of Government intervention in the event of liquidity issues based on systematic importance to the UK economy.
- Credit rating (Council's minimum long term counterparty rating of A+ across all 3 rating agencies, Fitch, Standard \& Poor's and Moody's).
- Credit default swaps.
- Share price.
- Reputational Issues.
- Exposure to other parts of the same banking group.
- Country exposure.

16. The agreed counterparties were:

- Royal Bank of Scotland
- Lloyds Banking Group
- Barclays
- HSBC
- Santander UK
- Nationwide
- Clydesdale Bank
- Standard Chartered

17. Santander has been suspended since 30 April 2010 as a result of concerns about the creditworthiness of the Banco Santander group following the downgrading of Spain's long-term sovereign credit rating. Clydesdale has also been suspended linked to issues in the Australian economy for it's' parent National Australia Bank. Standard Chartered have not been in the market for deposits. This leaves the Council with the 5 largest UK financial institutions and the Debt Management Office. The Treasury Advisory Group, advised by Arlingclose, continues to look at other options but the parlous state of the banking sector worldwide supports a highly judicious approach.
18. Deposits as at 31 March 2011 are shown in Appendix 2.

## ICELANDIC EXPOSURE

19. Heritable - this administration, managed by Ernst \&Young, continues to run well. The base case forecast return is $79-85$ p in the $£$ and to date 56 p in the $£$ has been recovered realising $£ 10.28 \mathrm{~m}$. Quarterly dividends continue to be received.
20. Landsbanki and Glitnir - on 1 April 2011 the Reykjavik District Court ruled that the funds placed by UK local authorities were deposits and enjoy priority status. This was not only the right outcome but the ruling was highly supportive. International bank and bond holder creditors and the Glitnir Winding Up Board are appealing the decisions to the Icelandic Supreme Court as expected. If priority status holds the anticipated level of recoveries are 100\% for Glitnir and $95 \%$ for Landsbanki.

## COMPLIANCE WITH PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

21. The Council can confirm that it has complied with its Prudential Indicators for 2010-11, which were set as part of the Council's Treasury Management Strategy Statement. Details can be found in Appendix 3.

## TREASURY ADVISER

22. On 2 March 2011 Arlingclose was appointed by the Acting Director of Finance \& Procurement in conjunction with the Treasury Advisory Group as the Council's sole treasury adviser for a 5 year period. This ended the use of both Sector and Arlingclose. Butlers contracts and staff had transferred to Sector in October 2010. The Office of Fair Trading has referred the Butlers acquisition by Sector to the Competition Commission.

## RECOMMENDATION

23. Members are asked to agree the report and recommend that it is submitted to County Council.

## Nick Vickers

Head of Financial Services
Ext: 70004603

## Appendix 1

## Interest Rates

Table 1: Bank Rate, Money Market Rates

| Date |  | Bank <br> Rate |  | O/N <br> LIBID | 7- <br> day <br> LIBID | 1- <br> month <br> LIBID | 3- <br> month <br> LIBID | 6- <br> month <br> LIBID | 12- <br> month <br> LIBID | 2-yr <br> SWAP <br> Bid | 3-yr <br> SWAP <br> Bid | 5-yr <br> SWAP <br> Bid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 04 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 1.26 | 1.54 | 2.07 | 2.82 |  |
| $30 / 04 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 1.29 | 1.70 | 2.23 | 2.95 |  |
| $31 / 05 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.85 | 1.35 | 1.46 | 1.89 | 2.58 |  |
| $30 / 06 / 2010$ | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.94 | 1.38 | 1.40 | 1.79 | 2.42 |  |  |
| $31 / 07 / 2010$ | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 1.01 | 1.46 | 1.36 | 1.75 | 2.39 |  |  |
| $31 / 08 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.45 | 1.20 | 1.47 | 2.02 |  |
| $30 / 09 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 1.46 | 1.24 | 1.51 | 2.05 |  |
| $31 / 10 / 2010$ | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 1.46 | 1.26 | 1.53 | 2.08 |  |  |
| $30 / 11 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 0.88 | 1.46 | 1.32 | 1.66 | 2.30 |  |
| $31 / 12 / 2010$ | 0.50 |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.94 | 2.61 |  |
| $31 / 01 / 2011$ | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 1.04 | 1.52 | 1.74 | 2.21 | 2.90 |  |  |
| $28 / 02 / 2011$ | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 1.09 | 1.56 | 1.85 | 2.29 | 2.95 |  |  |
| $31 / 03 / 2011$ | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.80 | 1.11 | 1.58 | 1.85 | 2.31 | 2.96 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 0.50 |  | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.13 | 1.37 | 1.92 |  |
| Average | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.98 | 1.44 | 1.50 | 1.90 | 2.54 |  |  |
| Maximum | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.11 | 1.58 | 1.97 | 2.49 | 3.19 |  |  |
| Spread |  |  | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 1.12 | 1.26 |  |

Table 2 : PWLB Borrowing Rates - Fixed Rate, Maturity Loans

| Change Date | Notice <br> No | $\mathbf{1}$ year | $\mathbf{4 1 / 2 - 5}$ yrs | $91 / 2-10$ yrs | $191 / 2-20$ yrs | $291 / 2-30$ yrs | $391 / 2-40$ yrs | $491 / 2-50$ yrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 04 / 2010$ | $064 / 10$ | 0.81 | 2.84 | 4.14 | 4.21 | 4.60 | 4.61 | 4.63 |
| $30 / 04 / 2010$ | $089 / 10$ | 0.85 | 2.86 | 4.13 | 4.20 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.60 |
| $28 / 05 / 2010$ | $127 / 10$ | 0.73 | 2.46 | 3.76 | 3.83 | 4.36 | 4.38 | 4.38 |
| $30 / 06 / 2010$ | $171 / 10$ | 0.67 | 2.27 | 3.54 | 3.62 | 4.22 | 4.28 | 4.27 |
| $30 / 07 / 2010$ | $217 / 10$ | 0.70 | 2.29 | 3.55 | 3.62 | 4.32 | 4.41 | 4.40 |
| $31 / 08 / 2010$ | $259 / 10$ | 0.63 | 1.84 | 3.05 | 3.13 | 3.82 | 3.93 | 3.93 |
| $30 / 09 / 2010$ | $303 / 10$ | 0.64 | 1.88 | 3.14 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 4.03 | 4.02 |
| $29 / 10 / 2010$ | $346 / 10$ | 1.58 | 2.90 | 4.23 | 5.06 | 5.2 | 5.22 | 5.2 |
| $30 / 11 / 2010$ | $390 / 10$ | 1.56 | 3.05 | 4.40 | 5.18 | 5.26 | 5.25 | 5.23 |
| $31 / 12 / 2010$ | $430 / 10$ | 1.65 | 3.33 | 4.58 | 5.18 | 5.23 | 5.20 | 5.16 |
| $31 / 01 / 2011$ | $040 / 11$ | 1.79 | 3.57 | 4.80 | 5.40 | 5.46 | 5.44 | 5.40 |
| $28 / 02 / 2011$ | $080 / 11$ | 1.87 | 3.61 | 4.75 | 5.33 | 5.38 | 5.35 | 5.31 |
| $31 / 03 / 2011$ | $126 / 11$ | 1.89 | 3.57 | 4.71 | 5.27 | 5.30 | 5.27 | 5.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low | 0.60 | 1.81 | 3.05 | 3.82 | 3.93 |  | 3.93 |

Table 3: PWLB Borrowing Rates - Fixed Rate, EIP Loans

| Change Date | Notice No | 1 year | $41 / 2-5$ yrs | $91 / 2-10$ yrs | $191 / 2-20$ yrs | $291 / 2-30$ yrs | $391 / 2-40$ yrs | $491 / 2-50$ yrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 04 / 2010$ | $064 / 10$ | -- | 1.78 | 2.94 | 4.18 | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.62 |
| $30 / 04 / 2010$ | $089 / 10$ | -- | 1.82 | 2.96 | 4.16 | 4.53 | 4.61 | 4.62 |
| $28 / 05 / 2010$ | $127 / 10$ | -- | 1.52 | 2.55 | 3.79 | 4.24 | 4.36 | 4.39 |
| $30 / 06 / 2010$ | $171 / 10$ | -- | 1.38 | 2.36 | 3.58 | 4.06 | 4.23 | 4.27 |
| $30 / 07 / 2010$ | $217 / 10$ | -- | 1.42 | 2.38 | 3.58 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 4.40 |
| $31 / 08 / 2010$ | $259 / 10$ | -- | 1.12 | 1.92 | 3.09 | 3.61 | 3.82 | 3.91 |
| $30 / 09 / 2010$ | $303 / 10$ | -- | 1.14 | 1.96 | 3.18 | 3.67 | 3.87 | 3.96 |
| $29 / 10 / 2010$ | $346 / 10$ | -- | 2.11 | 2.98 | 4.27 | 4.84 | 5.07 | 5.16 |
| $30 / 11 / 2010$ | $390 / 10$ | -- | 2.19 | 3.14 | 4.44 | 4.99 | 5.19 | 5.25 |
| $31 / 12 / 2010$ | $430 / 10$ | -- | 2.43 | 3.42 | 4.62 | 5.05 | 5.19 | 5.23 |
| $31 / 01 / 2011$ | $040 / 11$ | -- | 2.62 | 3.66 | 4.84 | 5.25 | 5.40 | 5.45 |
| $28 / 02 / 2011$ | $080 / 11$ | -- | 2.71 | 3.69 | 4.79 | 5.18 | 5.33 | 5.38 |
| $31 / 03 / 2011$ | $126 / 11$ | -- | 2.69 | 3.65 | 4.74 | 5.14 | 5.28 | 5.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low |  | 1.10 | 1.89 | 3.09 | 3.61 | 3.82 | 3.91 |
|  | Average |  | 1.91 | 2.87 | 4.08 | 4.55 | 4.72 | 4.77 |
|  | High |  | 2.88 | 3.93 | 5.03 | 5.38 | 5.51 | 5.55 |

## Appendix 2

Deposits as at 31 March 2011

| Instrument <br> Type | Counterparty | Principal <br> Deposit <br> Amount at <br> $31 / 03 / 11$ | End Date | Interest <br> Rate | Territory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Dexia Bank | $£ 10,000,000$ | $31 / 10 / 2011$ | 0.5050 | Belgian <br> Bank |
|  | Total Belgian <br> Bank Deposits | $£ 10,000,000$ |  |  |  |
|  | Total Icelandic <br> Bank Deposits | $£ 41,155,209$ |  |  |  |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Barclays Bank | $£ 5,000,000$ | $31 / 05 / 2013$ | 6.8000 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Barclays Bank | $£ 5,000,000$ | $08 / 08 / 2011$ | 1.0900 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Barclays Bank | $£ 5,000,000$ | $06 / 09 / 2011$ | 1.1700 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Lloyds TSB | $£ 5,000,000$ | $05 / 04 / 2011$ | 1.1700 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Lloyds TSB | $£ 5,000,000$ | $05 / 07 / 2011$ | 1.3000 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Lloyds TSB | $£ 5,000,000$ | $07 / 10 / 2011$ | 1.6000 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Lloyds TSB | $£ 5,000,000$ | $09 / 01 / 2012$ | 2.0000 | UK Bank |
| LIBOR <br> Fixed <br> Deposit | Royal Bank of <br> Scotland | $£ 5,000,000$ | $19 / 09 / 2011$ | 1.0556 | UK Bank |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Royal Bank of <br> Scotland | $£ 5,000,000$ | $06 / 01 / 2012$ | 1.4000 | UK Bank |
| LIBOR <br> Fixed <br> Deposit | Royal Bank of <br> Scotland | $£ 5,000,000$ | $18 / 10 / 2013$ | 1.3700 | UK Bank |
| Same Day <br> Call Deposit | Bank of <br> Scotland | $£ 19,999,975$ | $n / a$ | 0.8500 | UK Bank |
| Same Day <br> Call Deposit | Barclays Bank | $£ 25,000,000$ | $\mathrm{n} / a$ | 0.7000 | UK Bank |
| Same Day <br> Call Deposit | Royal Bank of <br> Scotland | $£ 20,600,000$ | $n / a$ | 1.1500 | UK Bank |
| Total UK Bank <br> Deposits | $£ 115,599,975$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed <br> Deposit | Nationwide <br> Building <br> Society | $£ 5,000,000$ | $05 / 05 / 2011$ | 0.7400 | Society |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building |  |  |  |  |  |


| Fixed Deposit | Nationwide Building Society | £5,000,000 | 06/06/2011 | 0.8500 | UK Building Society |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIBOR <br> Fixed <br> Deposit | Principality Building Society | £5,000,000 | 21/04/2011 | 1.3756 | UK <br> Building <br> Society |
|  | Total UK Building Society Deposits | £15,000,000 |  |  |  |
| Fixed Deposit | Debt <br> Management Office | £18,000,000 | 01/04/2011 | 0.2500 | UK Govt. |
| Fixed Deposit | Debt <br> Management <br> Office | £2,800,000 | 05/04/2011 | 0.2500 | UK Govt. |
| Fixed Deposit | Debt <br> Management Office | £8,000,000 | 06/04/2011 | 0.2500 | UK Govt. |
| Fixed Deposit | Debt <br> Management Office | £10,000,000 | 07/04/2011 | 0.2500 | UK Govt. |
| Fixed Deposit | Debt <br> Management Office | £8,950,000 | 08/04/2011 | 0.2500 | UK Govt. |
|  | Total UK Govt. Deposits | £47,750,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Grand Total of All Deposits | £229,505,184 |  |  |  |

## Appendix 3

## PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

1. Estimate of capital financing requirement (underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose)

Estimates of the Council's cumulative maximum external borrowing requirement for 2010-11 to 2012-13 are shown in the table below:

|  | $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 0}$ <br> Actual <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 s}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 1}$ <br> Actual <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 \mathbf { s }}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 2}$ <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 3}$ <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0 s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capital Financing <br> Requirement | $1,236,211$ | $1,309,517$ | $1,308,640$ | $1,300,156$ |
| Less: <br> Existing Profile of <br> Borrowing | $1,042,363$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 6 , 3 3 3}$ | $1,096,333$ | $1,096,333$ |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |
| Other <br> Long Term Liabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Maximum <br> External Borrowing <br> Requirement | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 , 8 2 3}$ |

In the light of current commitments and planned expenditure, forecast net borrowing by the Council will not exceed the Capital Financing Requirement.
2. Operational Boundary for External Debt

The operational boundary for debt is determined having regard to actual levels of debt, borrowing anticipated in the capital plan, the requirements of treasury strategy and prudent requirements in relation to day to day cash flow management. The operational boundary for debt has not been exceeded in 2010-11
(a) Operational boundary for debt relating to KCC assets and activities

|  | Prudential Indicator | Actual as at |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| revised | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| Borrowing | 1,142 | 1,046 |
| Other Long Term Liabilities | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1,142 | 1,046 |

(b) Operational boundary for total debt managed by KCC including that relating to Medway Council etc (pre Local Government Reorganisation)

|  | Prudential Indicator <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> revised | Actual as at <br> $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| Borrowing | 1,192 | 1,096 |
| Other Long Term Liabilities | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1,192 | 1,096 |

## 3. Authorised Limit for External Debt

The authorised limit includes additional allowance, over and above the operational boundary to provide for unusual cash movements. It is a statutory limit set and revised by the County Council. The revised limits for 2010-11 are:
(a) Authorised limit for debt relating to KCC assets and activities

| Borrowing | $£ m$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Other long term liabilities | 1,182 |
|  | 0 |
| 1,182 |  |

(b) Authorised limit for total debt managed by KCC including that relating to Medway Council etc

|  | £m |
| :---: | :---: |
| Borrowing | 1,232 |
| Other long term liabilities | 0 |
|  | 1,232 |

The additional allowance over and above the operational boundary has not needed to be utilised and external debt, has and will be maintained well within the authorised limit.

## 4. Upper Limits for Fixed Interest Rate and Variable Interest Rate Exposures

- These indicators allow the Council to manage the extent to which it is exposed to changes in interest rates.
- The upper limit for variable rate exposure allows for the use of variable rate debt to offset exposure to changes in short-term rates on our portfolio of deposits.

|  | Limits for 2010/11 <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Upper Limit for Fixed Rate <br> Exposure | 100 |
| Compliance with Limits: | Yes |
| Upper Limit for Variable Rate <br> Exposure | 50 |
| Compliance with Limits: | Yes |

5. Maturity Structure of Fixed Rate Borrowing

- This indicator is to limit large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing to be replaced at times of uncertainty over interest rates.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Maturity Structure of Fixed } \\ \text { Rate Borrowing }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Upper } \\ \text { Limit } \\ \%\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Lower } \\ \text { Limit } \\ \%\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fixed Rate } \\ \text { Borrowing } \\ \text { as at } \\ \text { 31/03/11 } \\ \text { £000's }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { \%ixed } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { Borrowing } \\ \text { as at } \\ \mathbf{3 1 / 0 3 / 1 1}\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Compliance } \\ \text { with Set } \\ \text { Limits? }\end{array}\right]$

6. Upper Limit for Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 364 days

- This indicator allows the Council to manage the risk inherent in deposits longer than 364 days.
- The limit for 2010-11 was set at $£ 50 \mathrm{~m}$.
- The Council's policy has been to keep deposit maturities to a maximum of 12 months and no deposits were made for a period greater than 364 days during this period.

